

REMARKS

Claims 14-39, 48, and 49 are pending in the application. Applicant expresses appreciation for the allowance of claims 17-19, 29-39, and 49 in the Office Action.


Claims 14-16, 20-28, and 48 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Forbes (US publication 2005/0020094). Applicant requests reconsideration.

Applicant notes that Forbes and the claimed inventions were, at the time the claimed invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person. Accordingly, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 103(c) Forbes shall not preclude patentability. Accordingly, the rejected claims are patentable.

Applicant herein establishes adequate reasons supporting patentability of claims 14-16, 20-28, and 48 and requests allowance of all pending claims in the next Office Action.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 17 Jan 2006

By: 
James E. Lake
Reg. No. 44,854